



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.42, No.8

March 3rd, 2006

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:

"I hope my fellow Old Brentwoodian Jack Straw does introduce legislation to make it a criminal offence subject to a two-year jail sentence to question the Holocaust. That will bring everything out into the open.

I shall claim the honour of being the first prosecuted by repeating the words for which I was fined £13,300 in Munich, banned from German territory and Second World War archives, namely; *'The gas chamber shown to the tourists at Auschwitz is a fake built after the war by the Polish communists.'* I assume a British court will permit us to call witnesses and evidence which the German courts denied us." (The Polish authorities have since admitted that the structure in question was built in 1948.)

– David Irving, Historian, *Sunday Times*, October 20th, 1996

"The West has been unable to intellectually defend itself because its own intellectuals have been working ceaselessly to destroy it."

– James Reed in *"Defending the West"*, February, 2006

A STRANGE DOUBLE STANDARD by Brian Simpson: Media articles by leading journalists across the world seem to be making essentially the same argument with respect to the "cartoon conflict": that the fundamental value of free speech is at stake and that this right over-rides a right of someone, not to be offended. It is strange logic that cartoons deliberately created to be "in the face" of Islam (whatever merits of that) can be defended by the West and yet a scholar such as David Irving, whose historical research questioned the Holocaust, is now to serve three years in prison. Where are the liberals defending David Irving's free speech right?

Islamists have said (Channel 10 TV news, 7/2/06) that cartoons about the Holocaust will be published. (*The Jerusalem Post* recently published the cartoons). Iran's largest selling newspaper *Ham Shahri* is having a contest for cartoons on the Holocaust. The graphics editor of that paper said: "The Western papers printed these sacrilegious cartoons on the pretext of freedom of expression, so let's see if they mean what they say and also print these Holocaust cartoons." The answer is of course predictable but who said radical Islamists were without humour? If this is done will liberals defend the Islamists' free speech right?

This is yet another murky and gruesome debate provoked by our collapsing multicultural society. The right of free speech has been threatened in recent times like never before.

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *A Strange Double Standard* • *'The Rot' First Noticed In A Common Law Country* • *Whither Freedom?* • *Islamic Glass Jaws* • *Danger Signals For U.S. ? : U.K. Migration Meltdown*

The great British philosopher John Stuart Mill wrote in *On Liberty*: "Strange it is that men should admit the validity of the arguments for free discussion, but object to their being 'pushed to an extreme'; not seeing that unless the reasons are good for an extreme case, they are not good for any case."

Thus as a point of logic: if there is a right of freedom of speech regarding cartoons, then David Irving also has the same right of freedom of speech. If Irving is to be imprisoned, then imprison all the editors of the newspapers across the world that published the offending cartons. – **Otherwise: let freedom of speech bloom.**

Editor's Note: According to *The Australian*, 21/2/06, Austria actually has on its statute books a "Banning Law" which forbids public questioning of the Holocaust or the glorification of the Nazi regime!

David Irving is to spend three years in an Austrian prison because he dares to question the accepted politically correct version of history. Mr. Klacki the prosecutor in Irving's Austrian trial is "prepared – with the help of advisers – to make a speech affirming the reality of the Holocaust". One would have thought in a court of law the evidence would have been presented, the facts established, affirming the reality of the claims of the Holocaust! Instead the prosecutor is prepared "to make a speech"? What sort of court of law is that? Sounds to me horribly like those that flourished under the Soviet regime in Communist Russia.

'THE ROT' FIRST NOTICED IN A COMMON LAW COUNTRY: Michael Lane has an excellent article on the League's website in the section "Triumph of the Past" under the title of "Power and Freedom". It gives an outline of when and how the white ants began infesting the English-speaking peoples' system of Common Law.

For those who would like to read Michael's 15-page article "Power and Freedom", it is available in a photocopy form from Heritage Book Services, P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159, for \$4.00 posted.

Or you can download it from the League's website: go to www.alor.org/ "Triumph of the Past" section and then click on to Michael Lane's Library articles. His archived articles are listed at the bottom end of the page.

WHITHER FREEDOM? by Ian Wilson LL.B.: Let us conduct a brief cook's tour of the loss of freedom in Western countries under the pretence of combating terrorism. First, in the State of Victoria, Premier Steve Bracks has said that he is likely to water-down the Victorian anti-terrorism legislation relating to the strip-searching of children as young as 10 by police officers of the opposite sex and without the knowledge of their parents. Now such strip searches of children will only be done in "extreme circumstances" such as when an imminent terrorist attack is happening. What strip-searching a Muslim child – say a 10-year-old girl – by a big burley policeman will achieve in terror control is anybody's guess, but suppose that a weapon of mass destruction could be stored in her knickers.

In Britain around 36,000 people were stopped and searched in 2005 under the emergency powers. Section 44 of the Terrorist Act gives police the power to stop and search people. Of the 35,776 searches only 455 were arrested on any charge. The search power under the Terrorist Act changes the previous legal situation which required police to have a suspicion that one had committed a crime. Now no such suspicion is needed to stop and search. Numerous political protesters have been stopped and searched, according to *The Independent*, 26/1/06.

In the United States President Bush has defended his decision to allow spying on US citizens without court approval, saying that the action was both legal and necessary. He claimed that the domestic spying programme was only aimed at people actively working with al-Qaeda. As a matter of fact

thousands of phone calls and emails by innocent Americans were scrutinised. Few terrorists are stupid enough to broadcast their intentions on the phone or computer. But that is not the point of the exercise: it is all part of a drive for social control and restraint.

Back to Australia. The Howard government is gearing up to implement an Australian identity card and is to use a retired judge or senior public servant to head a review to be "conducted independently of government". The ID cards with biometric data would cost over \$1.6 billion to produce. But the government cannot even securely operate the Aviation Security Identity Card system, where 384 cards have gone missing. One can only guess at the abuses which will occur.

Each day passes for us and seems a normal enough day. But the enemies of freedom tirelessly work away, like white ants in a building, munching away at the infrastructure of freedom. Sometimes we don't even know what we have lost until long after we have lost it. We awake to find yet another chain around us.

ISLAMIC GLASS JAWS by James Reed: Through the smoke of burning embassies, torched by Islamists offended about cartoons with depictions of the Prophet Muhammed and now, the dead – one must ask why: why do Islamists have glass jaws? "Glass jaw" is a boxing term and means that the fighter is easily hurt or knocked out. Islamists are the equivalent: easily hurt by any slight. Who else is like that?

Yet as "blogger" (an awful internet in-term) Tim Blair points out at timblair.net in publishing the 12 Danish cartoons on 6/2/06, Islamists are free of vilification. He points out that Sheik Khalid Yasin, a regular preacher in Australia, has said that Christians deliberately infected Africans with AIDS. Tim Blair continues: "Yasin wouldn't merely draw cartoons of homosexuals – he'd have them put to death in accordance with Koranic law. One Imam told Australian students that Jews put poison in bananas. Iraqis voting in their country's elections were shot at and otherwise intimidated by Islamic extremists whose banners announced: 'You vote, you die.' These friends of free speech were also observed photographing those who dared vote."

"Sheik Feiz Muhammad told a supportive Bankstown crowd last year that women deserved to be raped if they wore "satanical" garments, including anything "strapless, backless, [or] sleeveless" and also "miniskirts [and] tight jeans". And that's a lot of raping for swarthy "lions" to do.

Is more proof required of the failure of multicultural/multiracial societies? It has taken time but the folly of the post World War II immigration scam is beginning to unfold. It is a pity that the West must burn for its foolishness.

DANGER SIGNALS FOR US? : UK MIGRATION MELTDOWN by Tom Barnes, U.K.: Over 4.3 million people born abroad were living in Britain at the time of the 2001 Census. This is an increase of one million people compared with 1991. In London one in four were born abroad ("Britain Now Home to 4.3m Immigrants", *Weekly Telegraph*, 20/9/05).

The Weekly Telegraph breaks down the top places of birth so that the Republic of Ireland is first at 494,850. However if we look at matters from a racial perspective and add up the figures for India, Pakistan, the Caribbean, Bangladesh and Kenya (ignoring South Africa) we get a grand total of 1,870,330 largely coloured migrants from these countries alone. Is it any wonder that sensible thinkers are doubting whether British society can survive.

A deliberate act of public policy: Leo McKinstry, in an article published in Washington's *The Weekly Standard* (reprinted "France Right to Insist on Assimilation", *The Australian*, 29/11/05, p.12) says that Britain faces even graver problems than France because of multiculturalism. McKinstry

said: "In truth, Britain is now a deeply divided land where suspicion, intolerance and aggression cast their shadow over urban areas. This sorry situation has been created by a deliberate act of public policy. For the past three decades, in response to waves of mass immigration, the civic institutions of Britain have eagerly implemented the ideology of multiculturalism. Instead of promoting a cohesive British identity, they have encouraged immigrant communities to cling to the traditions and languages of their countries of origin. The emphasis is on upholding ethnic and cultural differences rather than achieving assimilation." The result is, that according to one survey, 13 per cent of British Muslims (who number 1.6 million) support home-grown terrorism.

McKinstry addressed his criticisms of British multiculturalism in favour of French assimilation. But the French riots show that assimilation fails when the numbers of racially distinct and ethnically/religious people get in large numbers. Eventually it is the majority population who "assimilate" to the migrant population being bred out of existence as happened to the Nordic Greeks and Romans of antiquity.

In Sweden police no longer control Malmö, Sweden's third largest city, the city being "ruled by violent gangs of Muslim immigrants" according to: <www.aftonbladet.se/vss/nyheter/story/0,2789,529910,co.html>

Ambulance workers are attacked by weapons and no longer work without police escort. Police only enter parts of the city with several patrols. Native Nordic Swedes have evacuated the city of Malmö because of the fear of crime. City buses now avoid the immigrant area. Gang rapes involving Muslim immigrant males and native Swedish girls – as occurred in Australia and France with their respective White girls – is commonplace.

Since the beginning of 2003, rapes in Sweden have increased by 17 per cent, according to this internet source. Stories of gang rapes are common in Swedish newspapers. Ninety (90) per cent of Muslim immigrants in Malmö are unemployed and some Muslims who have lived in Sweden for twenty years have not learnt Swedish. Växjö University economics professor Jan Ekberg found that immigration cost Swedish taxpayers DKK 33 billion in 2002, even more than Denmark at DDK 10 billion. Muslim migrants are actively encouraged to enter Sweden through family reunion programmes. Demography dictates, with high Muslim birth rates, and below replacement level birth rates of Europeans, that Eurabia and the establishment of Sharia (Islamic) law, is only a matter of time.

Muslim fundamentalists in Asia hope to create an Islamic state from Thailand to Indonesia, which will eventually engulf Australia. "Democracy" and Western modernity will be replaced by Sharia law (*The Australian*, 22/11/05).

Surely it is all a question of numbers: if the numbers of Muslim fundamentalists in Asia grows to a majority, or near majority, that will be a reality?

I do not condemn Muslims for their way of life and nor do I cite examples of racial conflict to encourage an "us-versus-them" situation. The fault for Europe's failure lies in a mindless "anti-racist" immigration policy which alone is responsible for the present racism in Europe – on both sides. These immigration policies are not and would never have been practised by Middle Eastern countries if the roles were reversed. The politicians and the intelligentsia who loathe European culture and people are responsible for the present suffering of Europe and it is they, rather than Muslims, who deserve criticism.